

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/785,314	02/23/2004	Eric Scott Micko	1187.002	9660
7590 09/21/2005			EXAM	INER
John L. Rogitz, Esq.			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A	
ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES Suite 3120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
750 "B" Street			2834	
San Diego, CA	92101		DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/785,314	MICKO, ERIC SCOTT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of the community of	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	10 January 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2005 is.  Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		·
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived
	·	3001VG4.
Attachment(s)		·
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/785,314 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-8, 10, 13-17, 19 and 22-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP-2002-168719 to Matsui et al. (US2004-75498 as translation document).

With regards to claims 1, 10 and 17 Matsui discloses a piezoelectric detector, comprising a piezoelectric transducer (S) an amplifier circuit (5) electrically connected to the piezoelectric transducer (S), and a resistor (3) in electrical series between the piezoelectric transducer and the amplifier circuit. (See figure 1 and its description in the US document).

With regards to claims 4, 5,13-15 and 22-23, the piezoelectric detector operates in a voltage output mode and the amplifier includes a FET and the resistor is disposed in series between the piezoelectric and the FET. (See paragraph 35).

With regards to claims 6-8, 15-17, and 24-26, the resistor is in series between the piezoelectric transducer and a non-inverting input of the operational amplifier.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,314 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 2, 3, 9, 11, 12, 18, 20, 21 and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui.

With regards to claims 2 and 3, although Matsui is silent regarding the resistance values, the court has stated that it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a given value as necessitated by the specific requirements of a particular application.

With regards to claim 9, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ F.2d 1647 (1987).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,314

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/JAA /JAA 9/17/05

> DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800